# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE: Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)

CIRCUIT CITY STORES,

INC.,

701 East Broad Street

Richmond, VA 23219

Debtor.

June 8, 2010

...... 2:05 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF OMNIBUS HEARING BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: McGuire Woods, LLP

By: DOUGLAS FOLEY, ESQ. ERIN Q. ASHCROFT, ESQ. 9000 World Trade Center

101 W. Main Street

101 W. Main Street Norfolk, VA 23510

McGuire Woods, LLP

By: SARAH BECKETT BOEHM, ESQ.

One James Center 901 East Cary Street Richmond, VA 23219

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@optonline.net

(609)586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

APPEARANCES (Contd'):

For the Debtor: Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom, LLP

By: GREGG M. GALARDI, ESQ. IAN S. FREDERICKS, ESQ.

2

One Rodney Square Wilmington, DE 19899

Acting General Counsel: By: DEBORAH MILLER

For the Committee: Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Jones, LLP

By: ROBERT J. FEINSTEIN, ESQ.

780 Third Avenue New York, NJ 10017

For PNY: Spotts Fain PC

By: NEIL E. McCULLAGH, ESQ.

411 East Franklin Street, Suite 600

Richmond, VA 23219

For Schimenti LeClair Ryan

Construction: By: KIRK VOGEL, ESQ.

Riverfront Plaza - East Tower

951 East Byrd Street - Eighth Floor

Richmond, VA 23219

For Signature: Christian & Barton, LLP

By: AUGUST C. EPPS, ESQ.

909 E. Main Street

Suite 1200

Richmond, VA 23219

\_\_\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

COURT CLERK: In the matter of Circuit City Stores, 2 Incorporated, hearing on Items 1 through 32 as set out on debtors' agenda.

Good afternoon, Your Honor, Doug Foley MR. FOLEY: with McGuire Woods on behalf of the debtors.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Foley, and I thank everybody for accommodating the change in schedule that I needed to make today in this hearing.

MR. FOLEY: Absolutely, Your Honor. We appreciate 10 $\parallel$  that and, fortunately we think we will be brief today, as well.

Your Honor, with me at counsel table is Gregg Galardi 12∥ and Ian Fredericks from Skadden Arps, and Sarah Boehm and Erin Ashcroft are here from my firm. Also, present in the courtroom is Katie Bradshaw, who's the Vice President and Controller of Circuit City, as well as Deborah Miller who's the acting general counsel.

Your Honor, there's 32 items on the agenda today, 18∥Your Honor. Mr. -- Ms. Boehm will be addressing the claims 19∥ matters which are items on the agenda, Items 6 through 16. Mr. Galardi will be addressing matters pertaining to some of the professional employment applications, as well as the confirmation issues and the mediation motion that we filed. Those are Items 24 through 32.

I'm advised by the committee which is dealing with 25∥Item Number 23 which is Deloitte Lambert Gaffney (phonetic)

1 motion that they're working on a scheduling order with opposing  $2 \parallel$  counsel with respect to that, and that that matter should be carried over to the June 24th omnibus hearing date.

Your Honor, the rest of the items on the agenda are either resolved or are being adjourned to a future date. Item Number 1 which is -- in the adversary proceedings we'll do some scheduling orders -- but, Item Number 1 which is the Sennheisser late claim motion, we're pleased to report to the Court that we were able to resolve that consensually. stipulation's been filed. There's been no objections, so that can be removed from the docket.

> THE COURT: All right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Item Number 2 which is the Archez motion MR. FOLEY: to reconsider the 48th omnibus objection to claims, that too has also been resolved and can be removed from the docket.

Items Number 3 and 4, these are the longstanding motions of Motorola and General Instruments with respect to compelling payment of 503(b)(9) claims. They have agreed -they have requested, and we've agreed, to adjourn their motions until the July 22nd omnibus hearing date at ten.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FOLEY: Your Honor, if we could just skip down to Item Number 17, which is the adversary proceeding that we have pending against LG. We're still trying to negotiate a global resolution with LG, so we would ask that that pretrial

conference be adjourned until the July 12th hearing date.

July 12th? THE COURT:

MR. FOLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. FOLEY: Your Honor, Item Number 18. This is our adversary proceeding against the U.S. Debt Recovery and Signature. Mr. Epps is here on behalf of Signature, who has lodged an answer, as well as a response to the default motion. He has some documentation with respect to the remaining issues that are -- we raise in the complaint and we're going to talk over the next couple of weeks about a potential settlement 12 given the amounts at issue. And so we would ask that the motion for default, as well as the pretrial conference, be adjourned until the June 24th hearing date so that we can hopefully try to resolve the matter before that time.

> THE COURT: All right.

As to USDR, Your Honor, we've already MR. FOLEY: resolved matters with respect to them in the adversary proceedings, so the only issue is the issue with Signature.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FOLEY: Your Honor, Item Number 19 is our adversary proceeding against PNY Technologies. Counsel is here. We need a trial date. We estimate two days for that 24∥ trial.

MR. McCULLAGH: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Neil

#### J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

25 the July 12th hearing date, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. FOLEY: Your Honor, Items Number 21 and 22 are two adversary proceedings involving Circuit City and Schimenti Construction. Item Number 22 is -- 21 is Schimenti's adversary proceeding against Circuit City. We have pending in that adversary proceeding a Rule 12 motion which we originally scheduled for June 24th. Mr. Perkins, counsel for Schimenti, requested additional time to respond. We have agreed that provided they file their response by the July 2nd date, we could have the motion to dismiss heard on the July 12th hearing date. And we would ask that the pretrial conference be continued to that date, by agreement of the parties, so the Court can consider the Rule 12 motion on the 12th.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. FOLEY: Your Honor, with respect to Item Number 22, this is Circuit City's adversary proceeding complaint against Schimenti with respect to preferential transfers. the issues, I think, were pretty clean in that, counsel is here and he can address that, we think that the trial would take no more than a day. It really involves mostly one payment, so we would ask that the Court's standard scheduling order be entered. And we have one-day trial set with respect to that matter.

MR. VOGEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Kirk Vogel 25∥ with LeClairRyan here on behalf of Schimenti Construction.

1 Your Honor, we propose that the pretrial on this matter be 2 continued, as well, to the same date as the Schimenti Construction adversary proceeding.

> THE COURT: Why?

3

4

5

7

8

13

15

20

21

22

23

MR. VOGEL: Just for efficiency purposes and to 6 continue discussions for possible global settlement if possible.

THE COURT: Will be the Court's preference, go ahead and calendar a trial date, just so that we can get that going. 10∥It's been my experience that by scheduling a trial date that 11∥ sort of entices everyone to speak a little bit more clearly 12 when they're discussing settlement.

MR. VOGEL: That's understandable. Yes, sir. That's 14 fine with us.

THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and schedule a 16 date. Is there much discovery that needs to be done in this 17 matter?

18 MR. FOLEY: Not with respect to the preference 19 matter, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court has Tuesday, September 28 available?

MR. FOLEY: That's fine with us, Your Honor.

MR. VOGEL: Your Honor, I apologize, I only have a 24∥ void date starting in November, if it's possible to start there 25 if that's a problem.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

25∥ which was set for a continued status hearing today, solely with

24

Item 6 on the agenda is the 19th omnibus objection

1 respect to Inland U.S. Management, LLC and Inland Continental 2 Property Management Corporation. These are landlord claims that are being worked by a location. We have agreed to adjourn 4 this matter to June 24th for further status at that time, as they continue to work through the -- all claims for that location.

> THE COURT: All right. To be continued to June 24.

MS. BOEHM: Item 7 is the 20th omnibus objection, which was continued today for a status hearing on Averatech and Trigem's claim which is also Item 8 in the 48th omnibus objection. They had claim objections in Omni 20 and Omni 48 and we are pleased to report that that matter has been settled in principal, and subject to completing the settlement documentation we have agreed to adjourn for further status on July 12th at 10 a.m.

July 12th. And what was the other THE COURT: matter, it's also on the 48th? 17 **I** 

> Items 7 and 8. MS. BOEHM:

5

6

7

8

11

12 **|** 

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

> MS. BOEHM: Omni 20 and Omni 48.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MS. BOEHM: Item Number 9 is the debtors' 71st omnibus objection. This is up for the first time today. This with respect to certain mis-classified non-goods under 503(b)(9). It included 13 claims that we received no

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

responses. We would like to submit an order reclassifying all 13 of those claims.

> All right. That's acceptable. THE COURT:

MS. BOEHM: Item 10 is the 72nd omnibus objection 5 which is the disallowance of certain duplicative and amended claims. This included 33 duplicative claims and 50 amended claims. We received three responses. We've been able to resolve two of them to date, and we would just like to adjourn the one remaining unresolved claim to July 22nd at ten o'clock for further status.

THE COURT: And who is that?

MS. BOEHM: That is -- it is a pro se claimant, Amad 13 Paul Whitney.

> All right. Very good. THE COURT:

MS. BOEHM: Item 11 is the debtors' of 73rd omnibus objection which seeks to reclassify unsecured claims filed as 503(b)(9) claims for goods received by the debtors outside of This included 12 claims. We received zero responses 20 days. and we would propose to submit an order reclassifying all 12 claims.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BOEHM: Item 12 is the 74th omnibus objection which seeks the reclassification of certain alleged admin expenses on account of employee obligations. This included 35 claims and we have received three responses that we're seeking

to adjourn for further status to July 22nd at 10 a.m.

All right. THE COURT:

1

2

3

4 II

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BOEHM: And we would propose to submit an order reclassifying any claim for which no response was filed.

> That would be fine. THE COURT:

MS. BOEHM: Item 13 is the 75th omnibus objection which is the reclassification of certain claims under the benefit restoration plan. This included eight claims. We received no responses, and we would propose to submit an order reclassifying all late claims.

> THE COURT: All right.

Item 14 is the 76th omnibus objection MS. BOEHM: which seeks the disallowance of certain mis-classified administrative claims. This included nine claims. We received two responses. One has been resolved and we would propose to adjourn the one remaining claim of a pro se claimant -- I'm sorry, it's not a pro se claimant, it' a litigation claimant, Yu Liang Lee, to July 22nd at 10 a.m. for further status.

THE COURT: All right. That will be continued. And 20 you'll submit and order with regard to the others.

MS. BOEHM: Item 15 is the 77th omnibus objection that is the disallowance of certain no-liability claims. This included nine administrative claims. We received no responses. We propose to submit an order disallowing all nine claims.

> That would be fine. The Court will look THE COURT:

1 forward to your order.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

MS. BOEHM: Item 16 is the 78th omnibus objection which seeks a disallowance of certain late claims. This included 13 claims that were filed after the first administrative expense bar date. We received three responses that we propose to adjourn to July 22nd at 10 a.m. for further status and submit an order disallowing the other ten claims.

THE COURT: July 22?

MS. BOEHM: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

MS. BOEHM: That's all I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MS. BOEHM: Thank you.

MR. GALARDI: Good afternoon, Your Honor. For the record Gregg Galardi on behalf of the debtors.

Your Honor, I'm going to handle matters 525 and then I guess it's the rest is (indiscernible) as well as one of the claims objections. Your Honor, first, why don't I go to really beyond this objection which is Number 37 on the agenda, which is the Texas taxing authorities, as that's not wrapped up in many of the other matters.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GALARDI: That's Matter 24 on the agenda, Your

24 Honor. Your Honor --

THE COURT: Number 24, okay.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GALARDI: Matter 24 was the debtors' 37th omnibus objection to claims. As Your Honor may know, we objected to and sought a reduction of certain personal property tax claims, 4 including taxing authorities from Texas, Henrico County and other counties based upon, one, a change in the law. We had filed briefs. That motion had been -- that objection had been on file. Each and every taxing authority opposed that relief. And it was previously scheduled for hearing, I believe it was at least one or two omnibus hearings ago.

Since then, we had entered into conversations with the parties regarding resolution of those tax claims and I'm pleased to say that with respect to -- I think, Ms. Weller and Mr. Reed are on the phone, as well as, Henrico's counsel is either here or on the phone -- we had resolved their objection and our response, coming up with a compromise, and we have submitted specific orders with respect to those settlements which go back to when we sold the assets they had put in estimated claims we had money in reserve. I'm pleased to say that we're settling all of these claims, (1) for less than the amount that they filed those claims, (2) for less than the amount of the reserves of those claims.

And, in addition, it was critical to the taxing authorities because of their own fiscal budgets, the taxing authorities insisted that if we were going to settle and compromise those claims, that we'd be authorized to pay those

5

6

7

8

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

claims, immediately. Some of those claims may be secured 2 claims, if Your Honor may be familiar a lot of the Texas taxing authorities have secured claims and we -- I've fought that 4 fight in the past.

In any event, these are the sorts of claims that penalties and interest generally accrue even post-bankruptcy for failure to pay. And then many of these were asserted as administrative claims. So, as part of the settlement what was critical to the taxing authorities that we've so far settled with, and we haven't settled all of them, but will be the format for going forward with a number of the other ones that are still outstanding, was that we would fix and reduce the claim for the tax amount. That there would be certain compromises, and not every jurisdiction is the same, but part of the compromises were on the interest and penalties that would have accrued post-petition as an administrative claim.

There were certain issues with respect to our appeal rights and whether they were waived or not waived. If you've looked at the briefs, those are all resolved. And, critically, that we would seek to be able to pay as soon as Your Honor enters the order, pay to these counties, these taxing agencies or authorities, immediately make payment on those, so as to stop an accrual of further interest penalties that were accruing every day or every month at a certain rate.

We would ask Your Honor to approve those orders that

1 settle those claims and we understand that in this instance we  $2 \parallel$  are outside of a plan paying certain claims prior to the effective date of a plan. There were concerns raised by the 4 committee with respect to that, which I'll get to in a second,  $5\parallel$  but that we believed that it was, nonetheless, because of the way in which they accrue interest and penalties on a post-petition base in these administrative claims, and since these are ordinary taxes in that context and because your DIP order already provided us with authority to make the payments once we settled these amounts, we thought it was prudent and advisable for the unsecured creditors to make the payment 12 before further interest and penalties accrue.

7

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

So, we would ask Your Honor to approve that. understand that the committee has no objection. But, Your Honor, I did want to note that one of the things that we are, in fact, doing and this will end up going into some of the post-confirmation matters, but I did want to let Your Honor know that we are filing -- in fact, we filed immediately before this hearing -- a motion to pay administrative claims, the ordinary course administrative claims, such that we've been allowed, say 503(b)(9) claims, we have some of those settlements, to pay those prior to an effective date of the plan.

Again, that some -- maybe somewhat unusual relief, 25∥ but in this instance given what we make on money anyway, and

1 given where we are, and given the delays that we have had with 2 respect to the plan, we and the committee discussed the issue and we've agreed to file that motion, which will be on for June 24th. We're not asking Your Honor to rule on that matter, but I can't say that it is not related somewhat to this, but I don't need to go into the details. We think this relief stands We have filed the motion. You'll see that cross on its own. your desk. We'll have that motion heard on the 24th.

5 II

7

8

9

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The debtors have importantly agreed not to withdraw It is a motion that is supported by the 10 that motion. creditor's committee. And with that, we would ask Your Honor 12 to approve the settlements with respect to the personal 13 property taxes.

Ms. Katie Bradshaw is in the courtroom today and could verify any of the facts or proffer any of the evidence with respect to what I've just related to Your Honor. With respect to the settlements of the amount, we think it's in the best interest of the estate and we have received no objections. I think the Texas taxing authorities may be on and counsel for Henrico may be here.

THE COURT: Which are the ones, Mr. Galardi, that you are settling and which are the ones that remain open?

MR. GALARDI: I was afraid you were going to ask me those specific letters, so let me see if I can get that. on the exhibit.

There's an exhibit attached to the agenda Your Honor 2 and which refers to 37 and it's --

THE COURT: This is Exhibit B?

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

241

25

MR. GALARDI: Well, actually, I have it as Exhibit A on mine, but it's Exhibit A and then there are -- it lists, starting on first page of that exhibit, you'll see Omnibus Objection 37 listed on the left side, and --

THE COURT: Oh, yes. Okay. This is the same one I was using with previous --

MR. GALARDI: Correct. And then it starts with Boulder and it goes all the way for quite a number of pages, all the way through, the last one being Polk County, Florida on Page 11. So, it actually has 10 pages of objections that we have resolved. That those --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They haven't all been 16 resolved. Some of them were adjourned.

MR. GALARDI: Oh, they haven't -- some of them were adjourned. I'm sorry, Your Honor. The one that's been resolved all the way to Page 9, it looks like, and then top of 10, they're resolved, all the way down to -- it looks like the Tarrant County is the last one resolved. And then we begin, again, with Allen County, Indiana, is being adjourned to the July 12th. Gaston County, Placer County and Polk County, Florida, those are the ones that are adjourned. But, the ones prior to that have been resolved.

3

4

7

8

13

15

17 **I** 

19

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Very good. Does any party 2 wish to be heard in connection with the debtors' motion to approve the settlements in these matters?

MR. FEINSTEIN: Briefly, Your Honor, Robert Feinstein 5 Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Jones for the official creditor's 6 committee.

Your Honor, as the agenda noted, the committee had expressed concerns. We had been presented with a settlement -a proposed settlement with Henrico County in recent days and 10 we're asked the committee's view and the response was, that we 11 had some concern about selectively paying allowed 12 administrative claimants. And our response to the debtor was, either pay none of them or pay all of them, but let's not, you 14 know, take one at a time.

So, the debtors' response was to file the motion that 16 was filed today, which we were supportive of and on that basis we support the settlements that are on the calendar today. 18 Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Does any other party wish to 20 be heard? Ms. South, you wish to be heard?

> MS. SOUTH: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. All right, Mr. Galardi, the Court will approve the settlements and grant the motions that you've filed to resolve those matters.

MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And we'll adjourn the others to the date indicated, July 12 it looks like.

MR. GALARDI: Thank you, Your Honor. It's also --4 Your Honor, we could hand up the notice there -- the ones that are, in fact, agreed are attached to that notice, if that helps, as well.

> THE COURT: All right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

14

20

21

22

23

24

MR. GALARDI: Thank you. Your Honor, then I'd like to turn to Matters 5 and 25, though they're not my motions. What I think is, it's best to take them in the context. Although, I don't want to suggest that they are necessarily related to confirmation matters, they are matters that we are 13 working with the committee with regard to.

Your Honor, first there is the motion to remove the cap on the Gowlings Law Firm which is Canandian counsel for the official committee, that's Number 5. There is then Matter 25, I believe is the number, that the committee filed a motion to 17 **I** 18 retain the counsel of Arsene Taxand as Special French counsel. Both of these matters the debtors objected to, and in the context of, I guess we'll say last week's breakup for a short period of time on the plans. We had filed an objection. We've put them in the hopper of things that we're discussing with the committees now as a consensual way to resolve that.

Which then makes --lets me turn to the confirmation 25∥ matters, Your Honor. To give a status update, we had filed --

5

7

10

11

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

the committee had filed an alternative plan last week. 2 filed a motion for expedited relief and we're going to seek mediation today. We have had conversations, myself and Mr. 4 Pachulski of the Pachulski Firm, as well as other counsels, trying to resolve our differences, and actually avoid both dueling plans and even the cost of mediation. I would say we're cautiously optimistic in what we would come to the Court today with respect to 525 and confirmation matters and in particular that mediation motion filed by the debtors, is to ask Your Honor if you had time available on June 16th.

What we would propose to do is to push those matters 12∥over to June 16th with the idea, hopefully, that we can get a consensual resolution and not really have to provide -- have to be in front of Your Honor with respect to those matters at that The hope is that we will, in fact, be able to mediate time. our own differences without a third party on the plan issues. Whether that will also resolve the professional fee issues is something that is an open question, but we thought it would be better because we've actually made some progress over the weekend and we're cautiously optimistic that we can resolve them ourselves without the need for a third party and to do so by the 16th. In fact, we've exchanged documents today with respect to that and hope to have cause and do that.

So, we -- but, should things break down, going back to the administrative claim motion, there is a need to move

1 forward as quickly as possible. So, instead of waiting until 2 the 24th, which is two weeks, which always builds in another two weeks, we thought maybe if Your Honor had time on that 4 16th, it would be good to schedule time now with the hope that we don't use it at all, or just give Your Honor a status report at that point.

THE COURT: All right. Why the 16th?

MR. GALARDI: Well, I guess there's a couple reasons. I know Mr. Feinstein's calendar is a little busy and mine is busy on the 14th and 15th, so we just thought that that was essentially seven days or eight days. I know Your Honor had some openings on the 15th, but I'm unfortunately at a partner's meeting. I'm wondering if the 16th works.

THE COURT: My condolences.

(Laughter)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

MR. GALARDI: I feel the same. I actually offered to come, notwithstanding, but I think there was a little bit of disagreement with my partners.

THE COURT: The -- how much time do you think you're 20 going to need on the 16th?

MR. FEINSTEIN: I would -- no. You probably can confirm to this, Your Honor, I would say 60 to 90 minutes. We will adjourn Arsene, the retention application until then. Ιf we haven't resolved our differences we may go forward in that, 25∥ we may ask for that to be mediated, but we should probably

allow ourselves enough time to deal with that and the plan issues.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: All right. Hold to a higher authority.

The higher authority is mentioned, MR. FEINSTEIN: 5 you might have a window there, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, we've got Mr. Heimann's out that Do you want to do it in the morning? I don't have an updated calendar, so that's why you have to do this.

MR. FEINSTEIN: I will also note that I think you and I have a call on that claims matter that we could probably move around, too.

THE COURT: All right. So, I can accommodate that, 13 so let's set it for two o'clock on the 16th.

MR. GALARDI: That's much appreciated, Your Honor. And to just give Your Honor an update, I think with respect to going forward on confirmation at that time, what I would do is expect if we have resolved it, to set dates for the confirmation hearing. Again, we still are having our tax issues, as I've mentioned, and the Canadian issues which is partially why the Gowlings cap is being increased and why Arsene. But, to try and resolve those matters and then we'll actually be able to give you even a further update at that time on confirmation. So, I don't want to schedule a new confirmation date at this point, but I think the 16th will be 25 our target for doing so.

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. With respect to the French 2 Counsel -- tax counsel issue, is that something that would inure generally to the benefit of the estate, so we just need 4 to engage one tax counsel in France, or what is the issue there?

MR. GALARDI: Well, let's answer the first question. Yes, having tax counsel in France that reviews this inures to the benefit of the estate. There is already French -- again, the French subsidiary that's causing us, I'll call it agida, to think of a better word, there is French counsel retained by the Canadian entity because that is a Canadian sub.

THE COURT: That's right. Okay.

MR. GALARDI: The committee has Canadian counsel, but there is a unique issue of dissolution in France, so they're wanting their counsel and, obviously, Skadden has French counsel in its French office. So, it really comes to, how do we coordinate what might be three or two counsel, as opposed to just one and what's the benefit to the estate?

We have suggested the French counsel come in for the committee. We haven't opposed that. Really, it's just a -it's an economic budget matter and hope to resolve that.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. Well, I hope that you'll have all these issues resolved by the 16th and then we can get this moving and get it back on track.

MR. GALARDI: Yes. I agree, Your Honor. I think,

1 actually, notwithstanding what I'll call a DIP, we're still,  $2 \parallel$  you know, a sort of break up for a short period of time. I 3 think everything is still moving. I don't think it's actually 4 going to delay things because tax issues and other things are 5 moving forward, but that's at least my view of it, so. And hopefully the committee will agree when we get back on the 16th.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feinstein?

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

17

19

21

22

23

MR. FEINSTEIN: Yes, Your Honor. We're in basic agreement with -- when the -- in the wake of our filing of our own plan, the mediation motion. There have been the beginnings 12 of a constructive dialog. We think the time will be well-spent in the run up to the 16th. We hope that they can be resolved 14∥ by then. If not, we'll be back in front of you in a week's time to take a different direction, but our hope is that this can all be resolved, and that would include not just the plan matters, but a variety of professional retention and 18 compensation matters.

THE COURT: So, the filing of the competing plan had 20 the desired effect?

MR. FEINSTEIN: Well, the log jam seems to be open, Your Honor, and I think both sides are making concessions and talking.

24 THE COURT: Excellent. Okay. Well, I'm encouraged 25 by that.

1 MR. FEINSTEIN: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: All right. Well --3 MR. GALARDI: I will bite my tongue on the desired 4 effect comment. 5 THE COURT: I understand. 6 MR. GALARDI: So, that really concludes our matters 7 for today, Your Honor. I appreciate your time and making time on the 16th. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. I'll see you back around the 10 16th. 11 MR. GALARDI: Thank you. 12 13 14 <u>CERTIFICATION</u> 15 I, ANNE MARIE DeANGELO, court approved transcriber, 16 certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 17 **I** 18 above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability. 19 20 /s/ Annemarie DeAngelo 21 ANNEMARIE DeANGELO J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: June 21, 2010 22 23 24 25